

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,825	05/05/2006	Michael Rosenbauer	2002P01292WOUS	1148
46726 BSH HOME A	7590 08/29/2007 PPLIANCES CORPORA	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			GRAMLING, SEAN P	
	100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BERN, NC 28562		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2875	
				· · · - · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/539,825	ROSENBAUER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Sean P. Gramling	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	May 2006.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-48</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-48</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	•			
Application Papers		*			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner	•			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 June 2005</u> is/are:		cted to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		•			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
		received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	, , , ,	received			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
· ·					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \square Interview S	summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/20/05.	nformal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/539,825

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 25-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evertzberg (EP 1151717).
- 3. Regarding claim 25, *Evertzberg* discloses a household appliance 1 comprising a front door 2 having an upper edge, a front cover 6 disposed in front of the front door; at least one optical status display device 4 mounted on the household appliance such that the display device is hidden in a built-in state of the household appliance and hidden when the front door is closed; and at least one light guide 13 (or 22) for transmitting a signal light emitted by the optical status display device (see Figures 2 and 3, and paragraphs [0006]-[0009]). The optical status display device 4 in *Evertzberg* is located at the upper edge of the front door 2 rather than positioned at a spacing away from the upper edge (see Figure 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position the optical status display device 4 at a spacing from the upper edge of the front door in order for a user to view the optical

Art Unit: 2875

display in a different location, and since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

- 4. Regarding claim 26, the signal light emitted by the optical status display device 4 in *Evertzberg* is guided by the light guide 13 to an area of the household appliance that is visible to a user so that the operating status of the household appliance can be checked even when the front door 2 is closed (see Figures 2 and 4).
- 5. Regarding claim 27, the light guide 13 in *Evertzberg* is arranged so that the signal light is transmitted in the direction of the front side disposed in front of the front cover 2 (see Figures 2, 4, and 10).
- 6. Regarding claim 28, the front door 2 in *Evertzberg* has a front surface and the front cover 6 is disposed in front of the front surface of the front door. The optical status display device is not mounted at the front surface of the front door, it is mounted at the upper edge surface of the front door (see Figure 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position the display device at the front surface of the front door, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.
- Regarding claims 29 and 30, the front cover 6 in *Evertzberg* has a rear surface facing the front surface of the front door 2 and a front surface in opposition to the rear surface and the optical display device is mounted on the front door 2 (see Figure 2). The front cover does not have a substantially cylindrical through hole to receive and surround the light guide 13. Rather, layer 17 contains a hole to receive the light guide 13 (see Figures 3 and 9). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

art at the time the invention was made to place the hole for receiving the light guide 13 in the front cover 6 instead of layer 17, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

- 8. Regarding claims 31 and 32, the household appliance 1 in *Everzberg* includes a handle mounted on the front cover 6 (see Figure 3). The light guide 13 is not disposed in the handle and the light guide 13 is not the handle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position the light guide 13 in the handle or form it as the handle, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.
- 9. Regarding claim 33, the front door 2 in *Everzberg* has a side edge and a lower edge (see Figure 3). The optical status display device 4 is not mounted at one of these edges, it is mounted at the upper edge of the door 2. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position the optical status display device 4 at either the side or lower edges of the door 2, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.
- 10. Regarding claim 34, the light guide 13 in *Everzberg* is displaceable.
- 11. Regarding claim 35, the front door 2 in *Everzberg* has a side edge and a lower edge and the optical display device 4 is located at a spacing from the side edge and the lower edge, but not at a spacing from the upper edge of the door 2 (see Figures 2 and 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/539,825 Page 5

Art Unit: 2875

invention was made to position the display device at a spacing from the upper edge of the front door, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

- 12. Regarding claim 36, the front cover 6 in *Everzberg* has a rear surface facing the front surface of the front door 2 and a front surface in opposition to the rear surface, a first part 21 of the light guide 13 is arranged such that the signal light is transmitted from the optical status display device 4 to the upper edge of the front door 2, and a second part 22 of the light guide is arranged such that the signal light is transmitted at least as far as the front side of the front cover (see Figures 2-4).
- 13. Regarding claim 37, the first and second parts of the light guide 13 in *Everzberg* are not separable. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the light guide 13 separable into two parts, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. *Nerwin v. Erlichman*, 168 USPQ 177.
- 14. Regarding claim 38, the household appliance 1 in *Everzberg* further comprises a housing wherein the optical status display device is mounted in the housing (see Figures 2-4).
- 15. Regarding claim 39,the light guide 13 in *Everzberg* guides the signal light along a light guiding path 12 and the light guiding path is adaptable to extend through the respective thickness of the front cover (see Figure 2).
- 16. Regarding claim 40, the light guide 13 in *Everzberg* is not mounted flush to the optical status display device 4. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill

Application/Control Number: 10/539,825

Art Unit: 2875

in the art at the time the invention was made to mount the light guide 13 flush against the optical status display device 4, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

- 17. Regarding claim 41, the light guide 13 in *Everzberg* comprises at least one glass fiber (see paragraph [0010]).
- 18. Regarding claim 42, the light guide 13 in *Everzberg* comprises at least one plastic fiber (see paragraph [0010]).
- 19. Regarding claim 43, the optical status display device 4 in *Everzberg* is operable to provide a signal indicating at least the operating states ON/OFF of the respective switched on and switched off states of the household appliance (see paragraph [0008]).
- 20. Regarding claim 44, the optical status display device 4 in *Everzberg* comprises at least one light-emitting diode (see Figure 2 and paragraph [0006]).
- 21. Regarding claim 45, *Everzberg* does not specifically disclose that the light-emitting diodes 24 emit a signal light of a different color indicating a different operating state. It is well-known in the art to use colored LED's and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a colored LED to indicate a different state of operation (see *Becke et al*, US 7,082,777, column 4, lines 13-18).
- 22. Regarding claim 46 and 47, *Everzberg* does not specifically that a plurality of colored light guides 13 be used to transmit signal light pertaining to different operating states 15. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add additional light guides 13, since it has been held that

mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

23. Regarding claim 48, the household appliance in *Everzberg* is a dishwasher (see Figure 3 and paragraph [0006]).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean P. Gramling whose telephone number is (571) 272-9082. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,825

Art Unit: 2875

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sean P Gramling Examiner Art Unit 2875

5.1.6-

Sandra O'Shea Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800